

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,630	05/30/2006	Kari Alitalo	28113/39467A	2853	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 12/07/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAM	IINER	
233 SOUTH V	VACKER DRIVE	KAPUSHOC, STEPHEN THOMAS			
	6300 WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357  ART UNIT PAPER NU				
			1634		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/07/2010	ELECTRONIC .	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,630	ALITALO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	1634		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IΗ	ΕF	REPLY FILE	D 25 Octob	<u>er 2010</u> FAILS 1	O PLACE TH	HIS APPLICAT	ION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.	
1. [	XI.	The reply wa	as filed after	a final rejection.	but prior to	or on the same	day as filing a	Notice of Appeal.	To avoid abando	nme

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

# **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
   (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-13,15,79 and 86-92
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17,21,22,25-29,31,33,34,36-38,41,46,48,52,54,55,68,70-76 82, 84 and 85.

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. X The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other:

/Stephen Kapushoc/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections of claims 2, 14, 48, 54, 55 and 80-83, which are cancelled; the rejection of claims under 35 USC 112 sparagraph in part as the previous claims were rejected as not enabled for the diagnoses of non-human subjects; the rejection of claims under 35 USC 112 2" paragraph.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants remarks of 10/25/2010 have been fully and carefully considered but are not found to be persuasive to allow the application. It is noted that Applicants remarks for withdrawal of the rejections under 112 1st paragraph and 102(a) require consideration of the provided Declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 (that the invention predates the Parr et al reference) and 1.132 (that protein is a suitable analyte for measuring expression for diagnosis). However, as both Declarations, submitted now after the Final Office Action, address rejections that were originally set forth in the first Office Action of 01/21/2010, the Declarations at this point are not timely submitted and thus are not considered after the Final Office Action. As such the pending claims remain rejected for the reasons of record as set forth in the previous Office Action of 07/22/2010,